

Debra S. Katz, Partner
Direct Dial: 202-299-1143
katz@kmblegal.com

October 9, 2007

Fred Fielding, Esquire
White House Counsel
The Office of Counsel to the President
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C. 20580

Re: PCIE Referral of Complaint Against Scott J. Bloch, Special Counsel,
Office of Special Counsel (OSC) to White House Counsel

Dear Mr. Fielding:

I am writing on behalf of my clients, a group of career OSC employees and four public interest organizations, who filed a Complaint of Prohibited Personnel Practices Against U.S. Special Counsel Scott J. Bloch (“OSC Complaint”) with President George W. Bush in March 2005. This OSC Complaint was assigned for investigation to Patrick McFarland, the Inspector General for the Office of Personnel Management, in April 2005. I am writing to request that you direct the OPM IG to provide you with an interim report or substantive briefing regarding what its investigation has uncovered to date, and to explain the reasons for its long delay in concluding this investigation.

It is our view that despite the diligent efforts of the OMB IG’s staff, Mr. Bloch has succeeded in obstructing and delaying this investigation for over almost two and half years. He has done so repeatedly, as I believe the OPM IG would confirm, both personally and through members of his political staff, and most recently by directing his former and current political staff to refuse to answer questions about Mr. Bloch’s various misdeeds. We understand that Mr. Bloch (though his political staff) has interposed frivolous claims of “attorney client” privilege in an effort to prevent the IG from getting at the truth.

Mr. Bloch has also greatly delayed this investigation by throwing up smoke screens to make himself “bullet proof,” including the launching of a widely publicized investigation concerning alleged violations of law in connection with the termination of a former U.S.

Fred Fielding, Esquire

October 9, 2007

Page 2

Attorney, David Iglesias, and alleged Hatch Act violations by the President's political Advisor Karl Rove.¹

In fact, our concerns about having Mr. Bloch lead these efforts were recently confirmed when OSC conducted a Hatch Act investigation of GSA Administrator Lurita Doan. Because of Mr. Bloch's involvement and actions, the focus was shifted from the allegations against Ms. Doan to Mr. Bloch's own credibility. Thus, in an act that could only have been motivated by Mr. Bloch's desire to protect himself, Mr. Bloch authorized James Mitchell, his Director of Communications, to leak OSC's investigative report to the press, before Ms. Doan had an opportunity to respond to it. Mr. Mitchell accidentally released a preliminary draft of the report, rather than the final one, to the *Washington Post* and the *Los Angeles Times*. Upon learning of his error (after the *Washington Post* had already published the preliminary report on its website), Mr. Mitchell gave the media copies of the final report, but failed this time to redact the names of employees whose work and competence Ms. Doan was said to have questioned in her interviews with OSC.

During OSC's Reauthorization hearing, Mr. Bloch did not own up to his actions under intense questioning by members of the House Subcommittee on the Federal Workforce, Postal Service and the District of Columbia. Instead, he testified (untruthfully) that he did not know whether OSC was the source of the leak. Contrary to his testimony, Mr. Bloch was well aware of how the report had been leaked because he himself authorized it. His untruthful testimony and evasive responses to questions asked by the Subcommittee further undermined the credibility of the Doan investigation. In fact, to date, the President has not taken any action in response to OSC's report; had a credible Special Counsel overseen this investigation it is far less likely that the President would have felt free to simply ignore OSC's findings.

Given OSC's critical role in protecting the merit system and enforcing the Hatch Act, it is unacceptable that after more than two years, the OPM IG has still not completed its investigation of the charges lodged against Mr. Bloch. We believe that to a large degree this is the result of Mr. Bloch's own obstruction of the investigation. For all of these reasons we believe the OPM IG should issue an interim report at this time. Mr. Bloch should not be permitted to benefit by his obstruction of the IG's investigation, including through the invocation of frivolous claims of privilege, and other high-profile gambits.

¹ At this point, Mr. Bloch cannot be trusted to oversee any investigation, much less a sensitive investigation of alleged Hatch Act violations by high level political appointees. Regardless of the outcome of those investigations, they will not be considered credible. As I explained in my letter to you dated April 25, 2007, multiple conflicts of interest preclude Mr. Bloch from being entrusted with responsibility for these important investigations, while he himself is also being investigated, essentially at the direction of the White House.

